





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,410	10/16/2003	Jayadev Billa	BBNT-P01-016	7825
28120 ROPES & GRA	7590 09/13/200 XY LLP	7	EXAMINER	
PATENT DOC			AZAD, ABUL K	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/685,410	BILLA ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		ABUL K. AZAD	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DATES OF THE STATES OF THE MAILING DATES OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a repl rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2007</u> .					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 7-26 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>7-26</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sur Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of 7-26 in the reply filed on July 5, 2007 is acknowledged.
- 2. This application contains claims 1-6 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in the reply. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gillick et al. (US 5,715,367).

As per claim 17, Gillick teaches, "a speech recognition system comprising":

"a clustering tree configured to classify a series of sounds into predefined clusters based on one of the sounds and on a predetermined number of neighboring sounds that surround the one of the sounds" (col. 17, line 13 to col. 18, line 46); and

"a plurality of speech recognition models trained to recognize speech based on the predefined clusters, each of the plurality of speech recognition models receiving the predefined clusters from a different portion of the clustering tree" (col. 21, lines 26-53). Application/Control Number: 10/685,410

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 18, Gillick teaches, "wherein the different portions of the clustering tree correspond to hierarchical levels in the clustering tree" (Fig. 23).

As per claim 19, Gillick teaches, "wherein higher ones of the hierarchical levels include nodes that correspond to more general questions than questions corresponding to nodes at lower ones of the hierarchical levels" (col. 10, lines 6-27).

As per claim 20, Gillick teaches, "wherein the plurality of speech recognition models include: a triphone non-crossword speech recognition model; a quinphone non-crossword speech recognition model; and a quinphone crossword speech recognition model" (col. 2, lines 37-67).

As per claim 21, Gillick teaches, "wherein the sounds are represented by phonemes" (col. 2, lines 37-67).

As per claim 22, Gillick teaches, "wherein the series of sounds include a sound being modeled and two context sounds before and after the sound being modeled" (col. 2, lines 37-67).

As per claim 23, Gillick teaches, "wherein the clustering tree comprises: decision nodes associated with questions that relate to the series of sounds, and terminal nodes that define a sound cluster to which the series of sounds belong" (col. 10, lines 6-48).

As per claim 24, Gillick teaches, "wherein the decision nodes and the terminal nodes are defined hierarchically relative to one another and the decision nodes and the terminal nodes are divided into levels, each of the levels being associated with a different one of the plurality of speech recognition models" (col. 10, lines 6-48).

Application/Control Number: 10/685,410

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 25, Gillick teaches, "wherein the decision nodes correspond to lower ones of the levels in the hierarchically defined nodes are associated with more detailed questions than decision nodes corresponding to higher ones of the levels in the hierarchically defined nodes" (col. 10, lines 6-48).

As per claim 26, is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 17.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillick et al. as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Kuhn et al. (US 6,711,541).

As per claims 7-16, Gillick does not explicitly teach, building first level of clustering tree and a second level of clustering tree as claimed in claims 7-16. However, Kuhn teaches, building first level of clustering tree and a second level of clustering tree as claimed in claims 7-16. therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Kuhn's teaching in the invention of Gillick because Kuhn teaches his invention provide high likelihood of scores and develop speech recognition models that are optimized to minimize confusability (Abstract).

#### Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7599.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Patric Edouard**, can be reached at **(571) 272-7603.** 

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

**Alexandria, VA 22313-1450** 

Or faxed to: (571) 273-8300.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA-22314** (Customer Service Window).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 4, 2007

Abul K. Azad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626